

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG**

MONDAY 05TH OCTOBER 2026

THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARING OF MATTERS IS IN PHYSICAL COURT

**EVEN THOUGH THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARINGS IS PHYSICAL, JUDGES
MAY ELECT TO PROCEED VIRTUALLY**

**LITIGANTS ARE TO CONFIRM THE MANNER IN WHICH THE HEARING WILL BE
CONDUCTED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE**

**IN VIRTUAL HEARINGS, THE LINK WILL BE CREATED BY THE SECRETARY
OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE AND CIRCULATED TO THE LITIGANTS**

OPPOSED MOTION

9C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WRIGHT J

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE H VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN AJ

9F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE HARDY AJ

8F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ILES AJ

TBC BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE A VAN DER MERWE AJ

INSOLVENCY COURT

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE A OOSTHUIZEN AJ

11D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOORCROFT AJ

11E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE M JORDAAN AJ

SUMMARY JUDGMENT COURT

1A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE CRUTCHFIELD J

GA BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MEIRING AJ

UNOPPOSED MOTION

GC BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ADAMS J

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAIER-FRAWLEY J

GE BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOULTRIE J

URGENT APPLICATIONS

9A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE STRYDOM J

9B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WENTZEL-THOMPSON J

SPECIAL MOTION

INCOME TAX COURT

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DAVIS J
(IT6686;IT76883)

FAMILY COURT

11B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE TWALA J

11C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOTHA J

SPECIAL INTERLOCUTORY COURT

GB BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DLAMINI J

11F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAHOMED J

RAF DEFAULT JUDGMENT COURT

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE VAN ASWEGEN AJ

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE FORD AJ

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ALLEN AJ

GENERAL CIVIL TRIALS

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE LIEBENBERG AJ

6A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE M VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN AJ

6B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE OOSTHUIZEN-SENEKAL AJ

2A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KOM AJ

2B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE J MOGOTSI AJ

2C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE FRANCK AJ

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MPHAHLANE AJ

THE CIVIL TRIAL ROLL BEFORE MUDAU ADJP

THERE SHALL BE NO PHYSICAL TRIAL ROLL CALL.

**PARTIES MUST COMPLY STRICTLY WITH THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH
19 OF THE REVISED CONSOLIDATED PRACTICE DIRECTIVE 01 OF 2024 (With
effect from 26 February 2024 and amended on 12 June 2024)**

LITIGANTS' ATTENTION IS DRAWN TO PARAGRAPHS 16 – 19 OF THE MEDIATION DIRECTIVE WHICH CAME INTO EFFECT ON 22 APRIL 2025 AS AMENDED ON 27 OCTOBER 2025

Regarding Practice Notes:

1. *What is envisaged is a practice note filed **shortly before the trial** after a last check on whether a trial is necessary at all, and if so, how expeditiously can it be conducted. Axiomatically this assessment can only be made shortly before trial.*
2. *In several instances, a practice note is filed months before the trial date. This is of **no value** in declaring the status quo on the eve of trial. When matters are struck off because no recent practice note was filed, parties are understandably disappointed. The **recent** practice note is critical to the optimal utilisation of court time, and it must therefore be so that it must indeed be recent.*
3. *Litigants' attention is drawn to paragraphs 19.3, 19.4 and 19.5 of Practice Directive 01 of 2024 which came*

*into effect from 26 February 2024 and which were amended on 12 June 2024. The practice note, prepared in accordance with the practice note templates annexed to the Directive must be uploaded not earlier than **7 court** days and not later than **5 court** days before the hearing set-down. If no practice note is timeously uploaded (or uploaded after the cut-off date), the matter shall automatically be removed from the roll, and the date forfeited. If the practice note is non-compliant with the practice manual or Practice Directive 01 of 2024, the matter shall be automatically removed and similarly the date forfeited. The provision shall be strictly applied.*

4. LAST DATE TO SUBMIT PRACTICE NOTES: MONDAY, 28 SEPTEMBER 2026

JUDGES, ACTING JUDGES AND LITIGANTS ARE REMINDED OF CHAPTER 6.9 OF THE PRACTICE MANUAL DATED OCTOBER 2018 WHICH DEALS WITH THE PROCEDURE TO ENROLL PART-HEARD CIVIL TRIALS

NOTE ON CIVIL TRIALS WHERE ON THE DAY IT IS CALLED THE PARTIES ANNOUNCE A DESIRE TO SETTLE

1. Judges and Acting Judges serving in the civil trial court must be circumspect when confronted by parties who seek a stand-down to settle.
2. Valuable judicial time is squandered whilst waiting on an agreement to be produced, if at all.
3. The standard procedure is that if a trial is not ready to run at 10h00 on the set down date it should be removed with no costs order. The judge is then available to take the next case.
4. In a case where the parties do settle in that week, an agreement can be brought to the judge who was presiding for it to be made an order. If settled later, the opportunity to re-enrol it on the settlement roll on one weeks' notice remains available
5. The cases awaiting a judge's attention must not be left to linger and risk being crowded out.
6. The abuse by parties is particularly prevalent in RAF cases.

NUMBER ON ROLL	CASE NO	PARTIES	DATE TRIAL DATE APPLIED FOR	DATE TRIAL DATE GRANTED	ALLOCATION/ORDER GRANTED
PART A: GENERAL CIVIL TRIALS					
1.	2023/060492	ANNA-MARIE JANSE VAN RENSBURG AND OTHER VS JOHANNA SALOMINA BARENDINA DE JAGER	19/07/2024	02/08/2024	No practice note (as set out in paragraphs 19.3, 19.4 and 19.5 of the Judge President's Practice Directive 01 of 2024 as amended on 12 June 2024) was uploaded to the electronic file. No mediation report (annexure "D1") and Joint Minutes (annexure "D2") as set out in the Mediation Directive and Mediation Protocol of 22 April 2025 has been uploaded to the electronic file – Matter is struck off from the trial roll
2.	2022/924	ADV H KRIEL N.O obo N S MAKHANYA VS MEC FOR HEALT GAUTENG	02/07/2024	15/07/2024	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice. Matter is settled.

3.	2021/5790	MESHACK FAMANDA VS MINISTER OF JUSTICE AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES AND OTHER Plaintiff: Adv Z Matondo Defendant: Mr M K Khampha Unlawful detention Special pleas concerning alleged non-compliance with s 2 of the State Liability Act 20 of 1957; s 3 and 5 of the Institution of Legal Proceedings against Certain Organs of State Act 40 of 2002 Estimated duration: 2 – 3 days	19/07/2024	02/08/2024	M VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN AJ Secretary: Ms K Modise Email: KeModise@judiciary.org.za
----	-----------	---	------------	------------	---

4.	2023/032876	MANDLAKAYISE ZWANE VS LERATO MOKGETLE Plaintiff: Defendant: Adv C Marais Divorce – customary marriage Plaintiff alleges that he is married to the defendant and is requesting a dissolution of the alleged marriage and division of joint estate Defendant alleges that the marriage is void ab initio on basis that the plaintiff was already married to another woman prior to entering into a marriage with the defendant Defendant did not consent to be in a polygamous customary marriage. Validity of marriage Pre-trial conference: 07 April 2026 Minutes filed: 23 June 2026 Estimated duration: 3 days	29/04/2026	29/07/2026	J MOGOTSI AJ Secretary: Ms M Moropa Email: MMoropa@judiciary.org.za
----	-------------	---	------------	------------	--

5.	2024/097092	SOUTH AFRICAN SECURITISATION PROGRAMME (RF) LIMITED VS CRS CORPORATE RENTAL SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD AND OTHER Plaintiff: Adv S Aucamp Estimated duration: 3 – 4 days The Plaintiff, South African Securitisation Programme (RF) Limited (“SASP”), claims against CRS Corporate Rental Solutions (Pty) Ltd (“CRS Rentals”) and MIA Telecoms (Pty) Ltd (“MIA Telecoms”) arising from the Main Cession Agreement concluded between CRS Rentals and Fintech Underwriting (Pty) Ltd, the subsequent cession chain from Fintech to Fintech Receivables 2 (RF) Ltd (“FR2”) and thereafter to SASP, and the guarantee/indemnity given by MIA Telecoms. The claim concerns rental agreements concluded between CRS Rentals and Kwantu Guest House CC (“Kwantu”), which agreements were ceded, ultimately, to SASP. Kwantu and Ms Nocwaka Cynthia Mazaleni resisted the enforcement proceedings brought	03/02/2026	22/07/2026	OOSTHUIZEN-SENEKAL AJ Secretary: Ms N Makgalefa Email: NMakgalefa@judiciary.org.za
----	-------------	--	------------	------------	---

		against them. That action, the full bench appeal, and the subsequent application to the Supreme Court of Appeal were unsuccessful for SASP. SASP's case is that the allegations advanced by Kwantu and Mazaleni, and the findings made in the Kwantu proceedings, triggered and/or established breaches by CRS Rentals of the representations, warranties and undertakings contained in the Main Cession Agreement. SASP claims the contractual consequences flowing from those breaches, including repurchase/indemnity consequences, costs and losses incurred. SASP claims payment of the amount pleaded, interest at the contractual rate, and costs on the attorney and own client scale, against CRS Rentals and MIA Telecoms jointly and severally, the one paying the other to be absolved, subject to the pleaded guarantee limitation issue. The issues in dispute, as they presently appear from the pleadings and issue-identification documents, are: Whether SASP's claim has prescribed in terms of section 11 of the Prescription Act 68 of 1969;			
--	--	--	--	--	--

		Whether the relevant rights in and to the rental agreements and the Main Cession Agreement were validly ceded from Fintech to FR2 and thereafter from FR2 to SASP. Whether SASP was obliged to join CRS Rentals as an interested party in the Kwantu action, alternatively to notify CRS Rentals of the Kwantu action. Whether CRS Rentals had knowledge of the Kwantu action, including the allegations of misrepresentation and fraud advanced by Kwantu and Mazaleni. Whether SASP abandoned any rights against CRS Rentals by failing to join and/or notify CRS Rentals of the Kwantu action. Whether SASP is estopped from relying on the Main Cession Agreement and/or the guarantee by reason of the alleged failure to notify or join CRS Rentals to the Kwantu action and/or the alleged failure to call witnesses to rebut Kwantu's version. Whether Wessels and/or Smit was employed by CRS Rentals and/or acted, or purported to act, on behalf of CRS Rentals. Whether the representations, warranties and undertakings contained in the Main Cession			
--	--	---	--	--	--

		Agreement were breached or triggered. Whether SASP is entitled to payment of the amount claimed and the correct quantum of indebtedness. Whether the claim against MIA Telecoms is limited to R2 million and whether such limitation excludes interest and/or costs. Whether the Court should refuse to enforce the relevant contractual clauses on grounds of public policy, fairness or unreasonableness. The appropriate scale and incidence of costs.			
6.	2021/22836	LETSIE MAMOGAPI SELINAH VS LETSIE MPITI PETER	20/02/2026	28/07/2026	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice

7.	2021/47971	CORNE VAN DEN HEEVER N.O and OTHER VS RUBENTHIRAN MOODLEY Plaintiff: Adv P van der Berg SC Defendant: Adv E J J Nel The Plaintiffs are the joint liquidators of Temoso Trading 45 (Pty) Ltd (in liquidation) ("Temoso"). They claim orders that the Defendant, its former sole director, is liable for all the debts of Temoso, including a debt of R2,114,000.00 to Win-Door Warehouse (Pty) Ltd (in liquidation) ("Win-Door"), and for the debts of Calm Tides Trading (Pty) Ltd and Alucentre (Pty) Ltd to Temoso, with payment of R216,020.00 and R1,287,780.00. The claims are founded on reckless or fraudulent trading and on the abuse of juristic personality. Defendant has raised special pleas of prescription and non-joinder, which pleas are in dispute. It is in dispute whether the defendant was part of the management of the Temoso (or any of the other relevant entities);	18/05/2026	28/07/2026	KOM AJ Secretary: Ms K Nxumalo Email: KNxumalo@judiciary.org.za
----	------------	--	------------	------------	--

		It is in dispute whether the defendant acted recklessly, or that plaintiffs are entitled to an order deeming the entities not to be juristic persons. Pre-Trial conference: 16 May 2024 Minutes registered: 15 July 2024 Estimated duration: 3 – 4 days			
8.	2013/11593	ASHLIN SOOKNUNAN VS MINISTER OF SAFETY AND SECURITY AND OTHER Plaintiff: Adv V D Mtsweni 1st Defendant: Adv K Sithole 2nd Defendant: Adv O Mudimeli Arrest, detention Estimated duration: 4 – 5 days Pre-trial conference: 27 August 2026	13/07/2026	29/07/2026	FRANCK AJ Secretary: Ms M Tsotetsi Email: MTsotetsi@judiciary.org.za

9.	2021/30461	REYMONDO VALENTINE CANNON VS MONDI MPACT GROUP FUND Plaintiff: Ms J Beckett Defendant: Adv S Khumalo SC The plaintiff was employed by Mondi Ltd as a Shift Fire Officer. As an eligible employee, the plaintiff became a member of the defendant by virtue of his employment with Mondi Ltd. The Rules of the Defendant regulating the retirement and related benefits for its members are applicable to the plaintiff's claim. On 31 August 2016, Mondi Ltd terminated the plaintiff's employment by reason of incapacity. During or about August 2016, the plaintiff submitted a claim for a lump sum disability benefit in terms of the Rules of the Defendant, which claim the Defendant rejected. The defendant alleges that the plaintiff is not totally and permanent disabled and is thus ineligible to receive a disability benefit in terms of the Rules of the Fund. Estimated duration: 2 – 3 days Pre-trial conference: 20 February 2025 Minutes registered: 27 February 2025	03/02/2026	06/07/2026	Matter is removed from the trial roll by notice.
----	------------	---	------------	------------	--

PART B: ROAD ACCIDENT FUND					
MATTERS REMOVED FROM THE ROLL BY NOTICE					
MATTERS NOT ON THE ROLL, COURT FILES ARE NOT WITH ROLL CALLING JUDGE 1. The civil trial roll is submitted to the Office of the Deputy Judge President by the Registrar approximately nine (09) court days before the trial date and was correct when it reached the Secretary of the Deputy Judge President. 2. The roll received from the registrar's office is then posted on the website of the Johannesburg Society of Advocates approximately five (05) court days before the trial date, where litigants may inspect the roll. 3. The failure of the registrar to include matters on the roll must be taken up with the Registrar <u>by no later than five (05) court days before the trial date</u>. For that purpose, kindly approach the registrar, Ms N Mvumbi (NMvumbi@judiciary.org.za / 010 494 8399) timeously to enquire about the enrolment. 4. If the registrar approves the enrolment, the matter may be enrolled and dealt with. If the registrar declines the enrolment, the parties may apply for a new trial date in terms of the existing Practice Directives. Last-minute enquiries will not be accommodated.					